

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/916,509	07/30/2001	Katsuhiko Hieda	04329.2613	8843
22852 75	590 07/21/2005		EXAMINER	
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER			LE, THAO X	
LLP 901 NEW YOR	RK AVENUE, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			2814	-
			DATE MAIL ED: 07/21/2004	•

DATE MAILED: 07/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	7
. 1	ı
	1

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

_	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/916,509	HIEDA, KATSUHIKO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thao X. Le	2814	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	s on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>05 July 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLIC	CATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.
1 \(\sum \) The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the this application, applicant must timely file one of the following places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ng replies: (1) an amendment, a be of Appeal (with appeal fee) in with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply r	affidavit, or other evidence, which n compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of	f the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advance event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	er than SIX MONTHS from the mail). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN T	ling date of the final rejection.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706		1.42C(a) and the appropriate systematics for
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date or have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exterunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the she set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nsion and the corresponding amour ortened statutory period for reply or	nt of the fee. The appropriate extension fee riginally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliant filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed was AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, bu	ut prior to the date of filing a brie	ef, will <u>not</u> be entered because
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cons	-	OTE below);
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bette appeal; and/or	er form for appeal by materially	reducing or simplifying the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116)		ejected claims.
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121		Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	.	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allo non-allowable claim(s). 	wable if submitted in a separate	e, timely filed amendment canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) know the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		will be entered and an explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to: <u>35-44 and 48</u> .		
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but I because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	sufficient reasons why the affid	avit or other evidence is necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under app and was not earlier presented.	peal and/or appellant fails to provide a See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attached.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application	n in condition for allowance because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (P 13. ☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet.	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	r No(s)
	•	
•		·

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the newly added limitation 'directly' and 'on the substrate and' would change the scope of the invention that would require further search and or consideration .

Continuation of 13. Other: The Examiner acknowledged that the typographical error in page 2 of the Office Action dated 02/04/05 and thanking the Applicant for understood the rejection of claim 48. The rejection of claim 1 should be the rejection of claim 48.

LONG PHAM
PRIMARY EXAMINER